

Appln No. 10/561,741
Amdt date May 27, 2010
Reply to Office action of March 25, 2010

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-35 are pending in the application.

Claims 10, 15, 16, 26, and 28-31 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.


Applicant has added the limitations of allowed claim 16 to claim 1 including the limitations of intervening claims 3 and 15. Claims 3, 15 and 16 are canceled, and claim 14 is amended to depend on claim 1. Applicant believes that claims 1, 2, 4-14, 17-19, 33 and 34 are now in condition for allowance.

Applicant has added the limitations of claim 29 to claim 20. Claim 29 is canceled, and claim 30 is amended to depend on claim 20. Applicant believes that claims 20-25, 27, 28, 30-32 and 35 are now in condition for allowance.

Applicant has rewritten claim 26 in independent form by including the limitations of claim 20 and intervening claim 25. Applicant believes that claim 26 is in condition for allowance.

Applicant believes that the claims are now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By 
Saeid Mirsafian
Reg. No. 52,035
626/795-9900

SM/rmw

RMW IRV1119165.1-*05/27/10 11:49 AM